# MINUTES OF CIIRC ASSEMBLY MEETING NO. 67

**MEETING NO.:** 67          **DATE AND TIME**: December 8th, 2022; 13:00          **ROOM**:716

| | |
|---|---|
| **ATTENDEES**: | **MEMBERS OF THE CIIRC ASSEMBLY:**<br><br>Lenka Lhotská (LL)<br>Zdeněk Hanzálek (ZH)<br>Josef Šivic (JŠ)<br>Vladimír Kučera (VK)<br>Václav Hlaváč (VH)<br>Robert Babuška (RB) - online<br>Mirko Navara (MN) - online<br> Josef Urban (JU) - online<br>Tomáš Vyhlídal (TV) - online<br>**GUESTS**:   Ondřej Velek (OV), Žaneta Rochová (ŽR) – online, Vladimír Mařík (VM), Petr Šamánek (PŠ) |
| **CHAIRED BY** | Tomáš Pajdla (TP) |
| **RECORDED BY:** | M. Horáková (MH) |

**AGENDA:**

| | DESCRIPTION | RESPONSIBLE | ACTION |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Agenda/minutes review | T. Pajdla | approve |
| 2 | Director´s info | O. Velek, V. Mařík | info |
| 3 | CIIRC Scientific board feedback | V. Mařík | discussion |
| 4 | 2022 Best results selection - where we are and what to improve | R. Babuška | discussion |
| 5 | Project Office in 2022 + future plans | P. Šamánek | discussion |
| 6 | IT department status and support | T. Pajdla | discussion |
| 7 | Miscellaneous | All | discussion |
| 8 | Next Assembly meeting | All | info |

1. **Agenda/Minutes Review**

   ● The MoM of CIIRC Assembly 66 and the agenda of this meeting were unanimously approved.

2. **Director´s Info (OV)**

   ● VM informed that a complaint would be filed regarding the Commission NCK project proposal evaluation procedure since it reduced the points assigned to our project proposal by the reviewers.

   ● The government returned the big infrastructure project to the Ministry to be redesigned.

   ● University of Rajasthan, India, needs help with its development. VM asked colleagues to visit them in February and offer our help.

   ● Bhutan ministry of education: AI lab is interested in collaboration.

3. **CIIRC Scientific Board feedback - VM**

   ● VM presented the CIIRC results and strategy to the CIIRC Scientific Council. CIIRC wants to pay special attention to acquiring large research and development projects, where the CIIRC ecosystem allows its teams to cooperate and be flexible in participation.

   ● CIIRC is very successful in networking internationally and locally. We should work on our excellence, e.g., apply for ERC grants, and develop industrial applications.

   ● A method for collecting and maintaining comprehensive information about applied projects needs to be implemented.

   ● Ph.D. program (TP): negotiations are progressing. We expect progress on establishing formal collaboration in Ph.D. education with CTU FEL early in 2023.

   ● VM suggested opening a TT position in Biomedicine to support the development of this field in CIIRC.

   ● CIIRC Testbed should be on the map of the European infrastructure. CIIRC has to find out why it is not there yet.

4. **2022 best results selection – where we are and what to improve (RB)**

   ● The applied results should be properly supported and documented – ZH and LP are successful in this.

   ● 6 articles and 3 applied results were submitted in 2022. CIIRC received one extra slot in each category.

   ● Conversational results from J. Šedivý have been conserved for the next year.

   ● An excellent result in an international competition did not result in a top grade. We have filed a protest (RB).

● Mistakes in V3S are difficult to correct just before the deadline – postpone the data collection for April and carry out the pre-selection at the same time.

5. **Project Office in 2022 + future plans (P. Šamánek)**

● PŠ – PMO department is preparing new projects applications. PŠ presented PMO performance overview in 2022 – data from EZOP from 1st December 2022. VH asked PŠ to present the overview of the project for the years 2020, 2021, and 2022 for the Assembly meeting in January 2023. PŠ agreed and will share his presentation.

● ZH – wanted to join some projects with Testbed, but not successfully yet.

6. **Miscellaneous**

● JU – European Committee published the projects in AI - deadlines are at the end of March - ask PMO to provide the information which to apply for. PŠ agreed to prepare the project overview table.

● ZH – proposes to check the results of the finishing projects, suggests having a project overview once in three years, and having a cell with the results of each project. RB – suggests making use of the yearly evaluation as the means of the results check, defining possible problems, and finding a solution.

● JU – a call for a TT position from DKRVO funds – TP agrees.

7. **Next Assembly meeting**
   20th January 2023 2 p.m. room A-716

| Responsible | Action point | Deadline |
|---|---|---|
| R. Babuška | ● Send the protest to V. Mařík | asap |
| T. Pajdla | ● Change the data collection for V3S | asap |
| P. Šamánek | ● Prepare the projects to overview in AI | Next meeting |